_	2 AU	412 (NEV. 12/03) 'UTGET	of Detention Pending	l'rial				
<del></del>		2:12-cr-2	20708 BAE-M	AR STATES	DISTRIC	Pg 1 of 3 r COURT	Pg ID 9	
_		Ea	stern		ict of		lichigan	
		UNITED STAT	ES OF AMERIC	'A				
	E	rald Do	V. 200 Indant	-	ORDER C	FDETENTI 12-3	on pending tri 0594	AL
d€	In tenti	accordance with the Boon of the defendant per	ail Reform Act, 18 Unding trial in this cas	J.S.C. § 3142(f), a dete se.	ention hearing has been	en held. I conclud	e that the following facts req	uire the
	] (1	a crime of violer an offense for w	would have been a f nce as defined in 18 hich the maximum s	e described in 18 U.S.	umstance giving rise	to federal jurisdict	of a [] federal offense   ion had existed - that is	□ state
		a felony that was	committed after the	e defendant had been c	onvicted of two or m	ore prior federal o	ffenses described in 18 U.S.	<del>c.</del> •*
		The offense describe A period of not more for the offense descri	(C), or comparable : I in finding (1) was than five years has bed in finding (1).	state or local offenses. committed while the delapsed since the	efendant was on releadate of conviction	ase pending trial for release of the	or a federal, state or local off defendant from imprisonme	fense. ent
u	(')	safety of (an) other po	ason(s) and the con	reducable presumption in the property of the presumption of the pr	n that no condition of that the defendant h	r combination of c as not rebutted this	onditions will reasonably ass presumption.	sure the
	(1)	There is probable cau for which a maximum der 18 U.S.C.	num term of impris		Findings (A) itted an offense more is prescribed in	ı		•
	(2)	The defendant has not the appearance of the	rebutted the presun	ed and the safety of the	nding 1 that no condi c community. Findings (B)	tion or combination	n of conditions will reasona	assure
	(1) (2)	There is a serious risk	that the defendant that the defendant	will not appear.		or the community.	SEP 2 8 2012	<u></u>
				·		U.	C NICTRICT COUP	<u> </u>
						E	ASTERN MICHIGA	. <del>N</del>
	,							
derar	find	that the credible testing the evidence that		—Written Stateme on submitted at the he			onvincing evidence A a p	repon-
reason Gove	e exte nable rnme	ent practicable, from perior	to the custody of the cersons awaiting or te consultation wit te of the corrections	serving sentences or h defense counsel. Of facility shall deliver	is designated represer being held in custoo n order of a court of	ntative for confine ly pending appeal the United States	ment in a corrections facility  The defendant shall be a sor on request of an attorne shal for the purpose of an ap	fforded a ev for the
		Date	<u>_</u>		/ Sig	nature of Judge		

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

U.S. Magistrate Judge Mona K. Majzoub

Name and Title of Judge

## Erald Nano Order of Detention

Defendant is a 32 year old Albanian native who has been residing in this country illegally. He has an active immigration (ICE) detainer on him, and had voluntarily agreed to self-deport on September 27, 2012, rather than detained while going through the immigration process for deportation, but because these federal charges were filed, he was arrested and then was unable to leave the country and finds himself in federal custody.

Defendant asks for a bond, claiming that he has lived in this country lawfully for 12 years until his student visa expired. He has completed a degree from WSU in computer science, worked briefly, and then was apprehended by ICE because of his illegal status and placed in immigration custody in August 2012.

He has very few ties to this country, and none to this district, but does have an uncle who lives in Maryland. For the past two years he resided in Royal Oak, Michigan. He is in good physical health and has no history of drug or alcohol abuse. He has no criminal history of any kind. He has an Albanian passport which was seized by agents.

According to the complaint, which charges him with making materially false statements, he has had several (more than seven) Michigan State drivers licenses issued to him since 2003 after he made various claims that his drivers license was either lost or stolen outright from him.

On July 18, 2012, a suicide bomber attacked a bus transporting a group of Israeli tourists in Bulgaria, and the bomber and six other people died in this attack. A Michigan drivers license was recovered at the scene and ultimately it was determined that it was in the custody of the bomber and that it was fraudulent. At least two other fraudulent Michigan Drivers licenses may have been used in connection with that attack. Law enforcement in Bulgaria subsequently discovered that a Michigan drivers license had been recovered in February 2012 in connection with a search of a computer related to a document forgery ring, and that license belonged to Defendant Nano.

Defendant was questioned as to the number of Michigan drivers licenses issued to him over time and to determine if any of his drivers licenses from Michigan had been used as a sample to create fraudulent Michigan drivers licenses which ultimately were circulated internationally. He stated that he had had no more than four drivers licenses issued to him from the State of Michigan.

It appears that since 2003 multiple drivers licenses from Michigan were issued to Nano, including a new license in September 2003, and another one in June 2004. In a four month period from October 2007 - January 2008 four new Michigan drivers licenses were issued to Defendant, at a rate of one new drivers license per month. In January 2008 the Michigan DMV required Defendant to pick up his new licenses in person, because he had claimed that the prior licenses were lost in the mail. Defendant did so in January, and then in April 2008 was back again claiming that he had lost his last license and needed a new one. Then in December 2009 Defendant received a new drivers license (Michigan) claiming to have lost the license issued to him in 2008.

Defendant poses a risk of flight by a preponderance of the evidence, due to his lack of community ties, his illegal immigration status, and the pending federal charges which he is facing. Also he was arrested on the day that he had agreed to voluntarily deport and was heading for Albania. He has strong ties to Albania, and none to his district. It is unlikely that he would appear for his court dates if given a bond under these circumstances. He would be remanded to ICE custody if given a bond, and then deported before his federal case could proceed to trial.

There is no condition or combination of conditions that would assure his appearance in court. There for Detention is Ordered.